



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁵ : G02C 7/04	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 91/07687 (43) International Publication Date: 30 May 1991 (30.05.91)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/GB90/01699 (22) International Filing Date: 6 November 1990 (06.11.90) (30) Priority data: 8925302.5 9 November 1989 (09.11.89) GB (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): NATIONAL RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION [GB/GB]; 101 Newington Causeway, London SE1 6BU (GB). (72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only) : SEDEN, William, Edward [GB/GB]; 103 Fareham Park Road, Fareham, Hampshire PO15 6LN (GB). HAMILTON, Ronald, Shade [GB/GB]; 2 Lovett Walk, Orient Drive, Winchester, Hampshire SO22 6NL (GB).		(74) Agent: NEVILLE, Peter, Warwick; Patents Department, National Research Development Corporation, 101 Newington Causeway, London SE1 6BU (GB). (81) Designated States: AT (European patent), AU, BE (European patent), CA, CH (European patent), DE (European patent), DK (European patent), ES (European patent), FR (European patent), GB (European patent), GR (European patent), IT (European patent), JP, LU (European patent), NL (European patent), SE (European patent), SU, US. Published <i>With international search report.</i>
(54) Title: CONTACT LENS (57) Abstract To improve its gas-permeability, a contact lens, which may be of any normal material, even a gas-impermeable material such as polymethylmethacrylate, is microperforated with 66000 holes distributed in equispaced sectors leaving unperforated the central (optically important) part and the outermost rim of the lens. With a smaller hole size, some one million holes can be accommodated. In a modification, some or all of the holes go only part way through the thickness of the contact lens.		

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	FI	Finland	ML	Mali
AU	Australia	FR	France	MN	Mongolia
BB	Barbados	GA	Gabon	MR	Mauritania
BE	Belgium	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
BF	Burkina Faso	GN	Guinea	NL	Netherlands
BG	Bulgaria	GR	Greece	NO	Norway
BJ	Benin	HU	Hungary	PL	Poland
BR	Brazil	IT	Italy	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	JP	Japan	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	SN	Senegal
CH	Switzerland	LI	Liechtenstein	SU	Soviet Union
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LK	Sri Lanka	TD	Chad
CM	Cameroon	LU	Luxembourg	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	MC	Monaco	US	United States of America
DK	Denmark	MG	Madagascar		
ES	Spain				

- 1 -

CONTACT LENS

This invention relates to a contact lens and in particular to ways of increasing the gas permeability of a contact lens.

05 A hard contact lens such as of polymethyl-methacrylate has advantages of longevity, biocompatibility, strength, durability, wettability and the ability to mask up to a certain degree of astigmatism but has the drawback of effectively starving the cornea surface of oxygen. This leads to oedema (thickening of the cornea) and other undesirable effects.

10 A soft contact lens such as of a hydrogel has advantages of oxygen permeability and comfort but is in turn susceptible to accumulation of proteins and other deposits, and is required to be kept scrupulously clean and sterile.

The present invention proposes to combine these advantages as appropriate, by enhancing the oxygen permeability of any contact
15 lens material.

To avoid oedema, it has been proposed in US Patent 3833786 to fenestrate a contact lens by holes sufficiently large for bulk tear flow to take place through them, thus transferring sufficient dissolved oxygen to the eye surface. This is
20 expensive and difficult to achieve consistently and may impair the perceived clarity of the contact lens. Various techniques have been proposed for the fenestration of contact lenses. One system (US Patent 3227855) uses a spark to locally burn a small hole through the lens and others (US Patent 3833786 above and
25 US 3971910) describe laser based systems. The lasers described are of the CO₂ type in which a concentrated beam is used to burn through the lens to produce the holes.

These patents start from the basis that the fenestration itself is to provide a path for tears to flow through the lens
30 enabling fresh oxygenated tears to feed the cornea. Most experiments have accordingly been conducted on lenses with a few

- 2 -

(generally less than 10) large diameter (generally greater than 200 microns) holes. Wichterle and Krejčí (International Eyecare, September 1985 page 315) recommend contact lens perforation in the centre only, i.e. the optically most disadvantageous location. Hill & Leighton (American Journal of Optometry And Archives of American Academy of Optometry, June 1967 page 365) conclude that no corneal benefit results (even directly under the hole) from a 1.0mm hole nor from holes as small as 25 microns. These latter holes were spark generated at a density of $3/\text{mm}^2$. There has thus been every reason to abandon the concept of fenestrating contact lenses, especially with small holes.

According to one aspect of the present invention, a contact lens has at least 2000 holes going part or all the way from one lens surface towards the other, and preferably over 5000 holes more preferably over 10^4 , most preferably over 5×10^4 possibly over 10^5 such as over 5×10^5 holes, even over 10^6 holes.

According to another aspect of the present invention, a contact lens has, going part or all the way from one lens surface to the other, holes smaller than diameter $150\mu\text{m}$ (e.g. smaller than $100\mu\text{m}$, preferably smaller than $50\mu\text{m}$, more preferably smaller than $30\mu\text{m}$, ideally smaller than $10\mu\text{m}$) e.g. under 50; more preferably under 20, optionally under 10, desirably under 5, if possible under $2 \times 10^{-5}\text{mm}^2$ in area, or the holes are in a variety of these sizes. Smaller holes are considered likely to be more comfortable to the lens wearer. The holes go from one lens surface towards the other. The holes may be blind or may interconnect the two lens surfaces or there may be some of each. The blind holes if any may start from the convex or the concave surface or some of each, preferably from the concave surface, whereby the eyelid nerves, which have been found to be more sensitive than the cornea, are not aggravated, there is no risk

- 3 -

to the appearance of the lens and deposits will not lodge in the holes. Preferably there are over 2000 of these holes, and/or at least 100 holes/mm² over all or part(s) of the lens. According to another aspect of the invention, a contact lens has holes
05 (which may be partly or wholly blind holes) in sufficient number to account for at least 5%, preferably at least 10%, more preferably at least 15%, most preferably at least 20%, optionally at least 25%, generally at least 30%, desirably at least 35% and suitably at least 40% of the area of the whole of one side of the
10 lens, or of the peripheral part of the lens, or of the central region of the lens, preferably substantially uniformly over the relevant specified area, with preferably substantially no holes elsewhere. The peripheral part of the lens is preferably deemed to be that outside a central region of 5-11 (e.g. 7-9)mm
15 diameter, within which there are preferably fewer (e.g. under 0.7, 0.6 or 1/2 mm² altogether) or no holes.

Some or all of the various aspects of the invention may be combined.

These holes are conveniently machined many, several or all at
20 a time by an excimer laser. If only the peripheral part of the lens is machined, this may be done in sectors. This has the advantage that the hole axes of each sector, i.e. the laser direction, can be more closely approximated to the normal to the surface of the lens in the middle of each sector, lessening any
25 optical interference by the holes and minimising the distance for oxygen transport. Both the wearer and any observer can be unaware of the fact that the lens has these holes. Part drilled holes are ideally produced by excimer laser which is a pulse-type laser. Each pulse ablates a fixed amount, or depth, of
30 material. Typically, 120 pulses are required to drill through a 0.1mm thick contact lens. Lenses have been part drilled using 50, 70, 80, 90, 100 and 120 pulses thus producing holes 42%, 58%, 67%, 75%, 83% and 100% through the thickness of the lens.

- 4 -

It may be advantageous to vary the depth of the part drilled holes across the surface of the lens in proportion to the lens thickness (for example using an iris mechanism coupled to the laser mask). This would tend to a more uniform distribution of oxygen transmissibility and hence improved corneal health. Alternatively, the (blind) holes could be wider in proportion to the lens thickness at that point and all of the same depth. The hole density (holes/mm²) can also be varied according to the lens thickness to help equalise the oxygen supply.

The excimer laser is preferably applied through a mask and the masked laser beam then focussed on the lens. In this way, the mask, which must mechanically withstand a proportion of the laser output, can be made relatively massive and laser-proof compared with the lens. The mask might not be so durable if used in contact mode with the lens and thus necessarily being much smaller.

The lens is preferably mounted (during this operation) on a radiation-absorbing support e.g. a polypropylene ball. If the support were reflective, laser radiation through a completed hole might scatter off the support, doing random damage to the lens. European Patent Publication 367513A describes a method of manufacture in which the contact lens is cast and retained on a polypropylene mould, ideally suited for presentation to an excimer laser drilling system.

A high output laser is preferred, for speed of production and to reduce the incidence of hole taper; the ratio (laser entrance hole size : laser exit hole size), which should ideally be unity (= parallel-sided hole) increases with lens thickness but does so progressively less as laser power is increased. For example using a laser fluence of 4J/cm², a 22-micron diameter entrance hole will taper to become a 10-micron exit hole when drilled through a 450-micron thick lens. Using the 'mask then focus' technique described above permits an increased power of laser to

- 5 -

be used with the advantages of yielding more accurately parallel-sided holes and of reducing the laser-machining time to form the holes. The laser wavelength will be chosen according to the lens material. For those materials in commonest use, a
05 wavelength of 160-230nm, preferably 185-200nm was found suitable.

Although the contact lens may be of any material of which such lenses are normally made, according to the clinical requirements of the wearer, an advantageous material is hydroxyethylmethacrylate. This combines a certain degree of
10 softness and inherent oxygen permeability with some strength and durability. The making of the holes according to the invention will make inroads into the strength and durability, which is paradoxically an advantage in that a wearer will be discouraged from the medically unsound practice of trying to clean and re-use
15 a disposable contact lens if it is sufficiently fragile.

It is postulated that capillary attraction will for all practical purposes prevent bulk flow of tear fluid through these holes, but they cumulatively account for such an area of the lens surface that oxygen supply from the air by dissolution into tear
20 fluid and diffusion in solution through the static columns of tear fluid in the holes to the eye surface is more than adequate. Blind holes contribute to oxygen transport by lessening the distance through the lens itself which oxygen would have to traverse.

25 In the case of holes interconnecting the lens surfaces, oxygen permeability is added to the contact lens according to the invention regardless of the other properties of the material comprising the lens.

Such a lens may be characterised as microperforated.

30 The invention will now be described by way of example.

The contact lenses used were variously of polymethylmethacrylate and other silicon acrylates, and hydroxyethylmethacrylate (38% water), 9mm in diameter; and of higher water content materials (hydrogels), the hydrogels being a

- 6 -

standard 14mm in diameter. The hydrogel lenses were perforated in both the xerogel and hydrated states. Holes 50 microns across at the entrance and 100 μm apart were drilled by excimer laser of $\lambda = 193 \text{ nm}$ and 20ns pulse length at 5Hz. The fluence (flux x time) at the lens (workpiece) was $550\text{mJ}/\text{cm}^2$. The exit diameter of these holes was 25 microns. In other experiments, the holes were 80, 50, 20 and 15 microns at the exit. These holes were drilled in clusters with 34% of the surface area given over to holes. Four clusters of 170 holes each were grouped in a test area with about 23% of the area given over to holes (the difference between 34% and 23% being attributable to the margins between the clusters). In other lenses, the hole centres were 100 μm apart in rows themselves 58 μm apart staggered such that the hole spacing across rows was also 100 μm . In other experiments holes of 25 microns entry diameter have been drilled using projection techniques. The exit diameter of these holes varied from 20 microns in thin sections (less than 150 microns) to 1-2 microns in thick sections (greater than 150 microns). Holes with no taper are ideal since tapering produces two adverse effects. Firstly, tapered holes tend to worsen the optical quality of the lens (increasingly so as the angle of the taper increases) and secondly, the larger, entry hole, limits the hole density.

The contact lenses were 0.05mm, 0.10mm, 0.15mm and 0.20mm thick. One tapered from 0.05 to 0.50mm thickness.

The excimer laser used pulsed gas lasers which operate at a number of fixed wavelengths throughout the ultraviolet. Lasing occurs as the result of a pulsed electrical discharge occurring in a high pressure gas. The commonly used three-component gas mixture is made up mostly of a buffer gas such as neon, a smaller amount of a rare gas such as argon, krypton or xenon, and a trace amount of a halogen donor such as hydrogen chloride or fluorine. The combination of rare gas and halogen determine the output wavelength, with the three most powerful excimer lasers being argon fluoride (ArF) at 193 nm, krypton fluoride (KrF) at 248 nm,

- 7 -

and xenon chloride (XeCl) at 308 nm. Excimer lasers operate only in a pulsed mode, with pulse durations typically of the order of ten nanoseconds, and output energies per pulse of a few hundred millijoules. This is a unique combination of ultraviolet output
05 and high peak power, and can remove material through the process of ablation. This non-thermal mechanism differs markedly from thermal processes such as melting and vaporization that are commonly associated with other types of laser materials processing. With excimer lasers, material can be removed with
10 very high precision and with virtually no heat-affected zone in the surrounding regions of the contact lens.

It is distinguished from other types of industrial laser processing in which a tightly focussed spot is scanned across the workpiece, resulting in only one hole being drilled at a time;
15 excimer lasers are best utilized in a broad beam mode. Therefore the complex patterns of holes in the contact lens is defined by mask imaging rather than by intricate movements of the beam or workpiece.

The mask intercepts the excimer laser beam, which is much
20 broader than a contact lens and continues its path in parallel and now imagewise format; it is only then focussed by a lens or other optical system to a reduced-size image on the contact lens to be microperforated. This reduction allows for great accuracy in the product without imposing impossibly fine tolerances on the
25 mask.

An important factor to be taken into account when drilling curved surfaces is the depth of focus of the laser system. This is another factor which limits the size of the drilled area. Holes of 50 microns have been drilled in a contact lens with the
30 laser system having a 6x de-magnification (i.e. using a 300 micron-hole mask). The depth of focus of this system was 300 microns.

- 8 -

From this result it can be calculated that the theoretical maximum diameter of the drilled zone where all of the holes are in focus is 2.17 mm. This assumes that the focal plane is flat. In fact, it is found that the focal plane is convex as seen by the target. This obviously reduces the effective diameter of the drilling zone on convex lenses.

There are two possible approaches that can be taken to maximise the diameter of the drilling zone:

- a) drill the concave side of the lens
 - b) change the shape of the focal plane using optical components.
- Both of these are practical propositions.

The spacing, or pitch, between holes may be constant across the lens or alternatively it may vary in proportion to the lens thickness. This approach would tend to a more uniform distribution of oxygen transmissibility and hence improved corneal health. This is particularly important in high minus power lenses since, by design, the cross-sectional thickness of the lens varies considerably, with the thickest portion being located in the mid-periphery. For practical purposes, such as ensuring adequate mechanical coherence of the lens, its outermost rim, up to $\frac{1}{2}$ mm or 1mm from the periphery, may be left unperforated.

There may be clinical advantages to drilling holes part-way through the contact lens. For example, if, as had been suggested, the primary cause of discomfort in fenestrated contact lenses is the eyelid rubbing over the edge of the holes, this could be avoided if the lens was drilled part through from the concave side thus leaving the convex side unbroken. This benefit must be balanced against any reduction in oxygen transmissibility which may occur by leaving a thin membrane intact at the bottom of each micro-fenestration. This part-drilled lens, if preloaded with medicament, is ideal for controlled and sustained-release dosage of medicament to or via the cornea of the eye. The eyelid could be correspondingly treated using contact lenses part-way drilled from the convex side.

- 9 -

A hydroxyethyl methacrylate lens (38% water) -2 dioptres and 14mm diameter, central thickness 0.06mm and concave curve of 8.4mm radius was mounted on a polypropylene ball. This is a very typical contact lens.

05 An excimer laser pulsing at 5 Hz with an output of $1\text{J}/\text{cm}^2$ was focussed through a mask to a 'demagnification' of x6 onto a sector of the lens. 120 pulses were needed to drill holes through the lens, and samples were made using 50, 70, 80, 90, 100, 120 and 150 pulses. The mask, as projected onto the lens
10 being drilled, had a 24° sector (leaving the central 8mm diameter and the outermost rim of about $\frac{1}{2}\text{mm}$ of the lens undrilled) containing $25\mu\text{m}$ diameter circular holes spaced so that holes accounted for half the area of the sector, thus about 6600 holes per sector. The lens was rotationally indexed to drill ten
15 equispaced sectors, although of course fifteen could have been accommodated, and indeed 14 or 15 would be preferred in production lenses.

20 A similar lens where the holes were $6\mu\text{m}$ in diameter could thus be drilled to accommodate just over one million holes without encroaching on the most optically critical central part of the lens. However, as mentioned above, a certain hole density in that central part can be acceptable, such as up to about 0.6mm^2 altogether.

- 10 -

CLAIMS

1. A contact lens, having holes going part or all the way from one lens surface to the other, in sufficient number to account for at least 5% of the area of the whole of, or of the peripheral part of, or of the central region of, the lens.
- 05 2. A contact lens according to Claim 1, wherein the holes account for at least 10% of said area.
3. A contact lens according to Claim 2, wherein the holes account for at least 15% of said area.
4. A contact lens according to Claim 3, wherein the holes
10 account for at least 20% of said area.
5. A contact lens according to Claim 4, wherein the holes account for at least 25% of said area.
6. A contact lens according to Claim 4, wherein the holes account for at least 30% of said area.
- 15 7. A contact lens according to Claim 4, wherein the holes account for at least 35% of said area.
8. A contact lens according to Claim 4, wherein the holes account for at least 40% of said area.
9. A contact lens according to any preceding claim, wherein the
20 holes are smaller than 150 μ m in diameter.
10. A contact lens, having holes smaller than 150 μ m in diameter going part or all of the way from one lens surface to the other.
11. A contact lens according to Claim 9 or 10, wherein the holes are smaller than 100 μ m in diameter.
- 25 12. A contact lens according to Claim 11 wherein the holes are smaller than 50 μ m in diameter.
13. A contact lens according to Claim 12 wherein the holes are smaller than 30 μ m in diameter.
14. A contact lens according to Claim 13 wherein the holes are
30 smaller than 10 μ m in diameter.
15. A contact lens according to any preceding claim, wherein the holes are each under 50 x 10⁻⁵mm² in area.

- 11 -

16. A contact lens according to Claim 15, wherein the holes are each under $20 \times 10^{-5} \text{mm}^2$ in area.
17. A contact lens according to Claim 16, wherein the holes are each under $10 \times 10^{-5} \text{mm}^2$ in area.
- 05 18. A contact lens according to Claim 17, wherein the holes are each under $5 \times 10^{-5} \text{mm}^2$ in area.
19. A contact lens according to Claim 18, wherein the holes are each under $2 \times 10^{-5} \text{mm}^2$ in area.
20. A contact lens according to any of Claims 9 to 19, wherein
- 10 the holes are in a variety of sizes.
21. A contact lens according to any preceding claim, wherein there are at least 2000 of said holes.
22. A contact lens, having at least 2000 holes going part or all the way from one lens surface to the other.
- 15 23. A contact lens according to Claim 21 or 22, wherein there are over 5000 of said holes.
24. A contact lens according to Claim 23, wherein there are over 10^4 of said holes.
25. A contact lens according to Claim 24, wherein there are over
- 20 5×10^4 of said holes.
26. A contact lens according to Claim 25, wherein there are over 10^5 of said holes.
27. A contact lens according to Claim 26, wherein there are over 5×10^5 of said holes.
- 25 28. A contact lens according to Claim 27, wherein there are over 10^6 of said holes.
29. A contact lens according to any preceding claim, wherein over at least part of the lens there are at least 100 holes/ mm^2 .

- 12 -

30. A contact lens according to any preceding claim, wherein all the holes interconnect the two lens surfaces.
31. A contact lens according to any of Claims 1 to 29, wherein some of the holes interconnect the two lens surfaces and some go only part way from one lens surface towards the other.
- 05 32. A contact lens according to any of Claims 1 to 29, wherein all the holes go only part way through the thickness of the lens.
33. A contact lens according to Claim 31 or 32, wherein all the 'part way' holes start from one lens surface.
- 10 34. A contact lens according to Claim 33, wherein said one lens surface is the concave surface.
35. A contact lens according to Claim 31 or 32, wherein some of the 'part way' holes start from one lens surface and the others from the other.
- 15 36. A contact lens according to any preceding claim, wherein the holes are provided over the whole area of the lens.
37. A contact lens according to any of Claims 1 to 35, wherein the holes are provided over the peripheral part of the lens.
38. A contact lens according to Claim 37, wherein substantially no holes are provided elsewhere than said peripheral part.
- 20 39. A contact lens according to Claim 36, 37 or 38, wherein the holes are provided substantially uniformly over the specified area.
40. A contact lens according to any preceding claim, wherein the holes are machined by excimer laser.
- 25 41. A contact lens according to Claim 40, wherein the holes are drilled in one sector of the lens at a time.
42. A contact lens according to Claim 40 or 41, wherein the excimer laser is applied through a mask and the masked laser beam then focussed on the lens.
- 30 43. A contact lens according to any preceding claim, made of polymethylmethacrylate, hydroxyethyl methacrylate or hydrogel.
44. A contact lens according to any preceding claim, whose holes contain medicament.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No. PCT/GB 90/01699

I. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER (if several classification symbols apply, indicate all) ⁶ According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both National Classification and IPC IPC ⁵ : G 02 C 7/04																	
II. FIELDS SEARCHED <div style="text-align: right; font-size: small;">Minimum Documentation Searched ⁷</div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> Classification System ¹ Classification Symbols </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 10px;"> IPC⁵ G 02 C 7/04 </div> <div style="text-align: center; font-size: x-small; margin-top: 10px;"> Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the extent that such Documents are Included in the Fields Searched ⁸ </div>																	
III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT ⁹ <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; font-size: x-small;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 10%;">Category ¹⁰</th> <th style="width: 70%;">Citation of Document, ¹¹ with Indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages ¹²</th> <th style="width: 20%;">Relevant to Claim No. ¹³</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top;">P,A</td> <td> EP, A, 0367513 (NATIONAL RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT) 9 May 1990 see column 8, lines 34-58, column 9, lines 1-32 cited in the application --- </td> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top;">1</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top;">A</td> <td> US, A, 3971910 (C.S. MARSCHALKO) 27 July 1976 see column 1,2, lines 1-18 cited in the application --- </td> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top;">1</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top;">A</td> <td> US, A, 4068933 (M. SEIDERMAN) 17 January 1978 see columns 1,2 --- </td> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top;">1</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top;">A</td> <td> US, A, 3833786 (D. BRUCKER) 3 September 1974 see column 1 cited in the application --- </td> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top;">1</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Category ¹⁰	Citation of Document, ¹¹ with Indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages ¹²	Relevant to Claim No. ¹³	P,A	EP, A, 0367513 (NATIONAL RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT) 9 May 1990 see column 8, lines 34-58, column 9, lines 1-32 cited in the application ---	1	A	US, A, 3971910 (C.S. MARSCHALKO) 27 July 1976 see column 1,2, lines 1-18 cited in the application ---	1	A	US, A, 4068933 (M. SEIDERMAN) 17 January 1978 see columns 1,2 ---	1	A	US, A, 3833786 (D. BRUCKER) 3 September 1974 see column 1 cited in the application ---	1
Category ¹⁰	Citation of Document, ¹¹ with Indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages ¹²	Relevant to Claim No. ¹³															
P,A	EP, A, 0367513 (NATIONAL RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT) 9 May 1990 see column 8, lines 34-58, column 9, lines 1-32 cited in the application ---	1															
A	US, A, 3971910 (C.S. MARSCHALKO) 27 July 1976 see column 1,2, lines 1-18 cited in the application ---	1															
A	US, A, 4068933 (M. SEIDERMAN) 17 January 1978 see columns 1,2 ---	1															
A	US, A, 3833786 (D. BRUCKER) 3 September 1974 see column 1 cited in the application ---	1															
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; font-size: x-small;"> <div style="width: 45%;"> <p>[*] Special categories of cited documents: ¹⁰</p> <p>"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</p> <p>"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date</p> <p>"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</p> <p>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</p> <p>"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</p> </div> <div style="width: 50%;"> <p>"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</p> <p>"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step</p> <p>"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.</p> <p>"Δ" document member of the same patent family</p> </div> </div>																	
IV. CERTIFICATION <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 5px;"> Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search <div style="text-align: center; margin-top: 10px;">23rd January 1991</div> </td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 5px;"> Date of Mailing of this International Search Report <div style="text-align: center; margin-top: 10px;">12 FEB 1991</div> </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 5px;"> International Searching Authority <div style="text-align: center; margin-top: 10px;">EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE</div> </td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 5px;"> Signature of Authorized Officer <div style="text-align: center; margin-top: 10px;"> MISS T. TAZELAAR </div> </td> </tr> </table>			Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search <div style="text-align: center; margin-top: 10px;">23rd January 1991</div>	Date of Mailing of this International Search Report <div style="text-align: center; margin-top: 10px;">12 FEB 1991</div>	International Searching Authority <div style="text-align: center; margin-top: 10px;">EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE</div>	Signature of Authorized Officer <div style="text-align: center; margin-top: 10px;"> MISS T. TAZELAAR </div>											
Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search <div style="text-align: center; margin-top: 10px;">23rd January 1991</div>	Date of Mailing of this International Search Report <div style="text-align: center; margin-top: 10px;">12 FEB 1991</div>																
International Searching Authority <div style="text-align: center; margin-top: 10px;">EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE</div>	Signature of Authorized Officer <div style="text-align: center; margin-top: 10px;"> MISS T. TAZELAAR </div>																

III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT (CONTINUED FROM THE SECOND SHEET)		
Category *	Citation of Document, " with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to Claim No.
A	International Eyecare, volume 1, no. 4, September 1985, O. Wichterle et al.: "Perforated soft hydrogel contact lenses", pages 315-318 cited in the application ---	
A	American Journal of Optometry and Archives of American Academy of Optometry, June 1967, R.M. Hill et al.: "Respiratory profiles of the corneal epithelium", pages 365-373 cited in the application ---	
A	EP, A, 0042679 (CORNING GLASS WORKS), 30 December 1981 ---	
A	DE, B, 826204 (MULLER-WELT) 22 November 1951 ---	
A	US, A, 3228741 (N.E. BECKER) 11 January 1966 ---	
A	GB, A, 922871 (MULLER-WELT) 3 April 1963 -----	

ANNEX TO THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT ON INTERNATIONAL PATENT APPLICATION NO.

GB 9001699

SA 41712

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on 06/02/91
The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP-A- 0367513	09-05-90	AU-A- 4431489	10-05-90
		GB-A- 2226272	27-06-90
		JP-A- 2172712	04-07-90
US-A- 3971910	27-07-76	None	
US-A- 4068933	17-01-78	None	
US-A- 3833786	03-09-74	None	
EP-A- 0042679	30-12-81	JP-A- 57011652	21-01-82
DE-B- 826204		None	
US-A- 3228741		None	
GB-A- 922871		None	